

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
SHREVEPORT DIVISION

MICHAEL C. HORTON

CIVIL ACTION NO. 10-531-P

VERSUS

JUDGE HICKS

**SHREVEPORT POLICE
DEPARTMENT, ET AL.**

MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

In accordance with the standing order of this Court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Before the Court is a civil rights complaint filed in forma pauperis by pro se plaintiff Michael C. Horton ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this Court on March 25, 2010. Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at the Natchitoches Parish Detention Center in Natchitoches, Louisiana. He claims his civil rights were violated during his arrest. He names the Shreveport Police Department, Officer C. Jones, Officer Boone-Wilson, Officer M. Robinson, Officer S. Gipson, Officer A. Harvey, and Officer A. Wilson as defendants.

Plaintiff was ordered on September 2, 2010, to furnish the Clerk of Court in Shreveport, Louisiana, within thirty (30) days after service of that order, one (1) copy of

the complaint and two (2) completed summonses for each defendant in this action, for service. [Doc. 5]. To date, Plaintiff has not done so.

Accordingly;

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this complaint be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the Court and under the Court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

OBJECTIONS

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking, on appeal, the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district

court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

THUS DONE AND SIGNED, in chambers, at Shreveport, Louisiana, on this 27th day of December 2010.



MARK L. HORNSBY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE